UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America V. ARNOLFO MACHUCA PADILLA, a/k/a GUILLERMO JIMENEZ-SANTANA & GUILLERMO JIMENEZ SANTANA Date of Previous Judgment: December 5, 2005 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Case No: 5:03CR48-20 USM No: 17983-058 Julia Mimms Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Red	uction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of \$3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impossubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United \$994(u), and having considered such motion,	sed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's pr the last judgment issued) of	reviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
Previous Guideline Range: 120 to 125 months	E (Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: 27 Criminal History Category: IV Amended Guideline Range: 120 to 125 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELING □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction amended guideline range. ■ Other (explain): The defendant is not eligible for the crack involved methamphetamine. 	he guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	December 5, 2005, shall remain in effect.
Order Date: April 17, 2009	Michael 4/20/20
Effective Date:(if different from order date)	Richard L. Voorhees United States District Judge